# IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 21266 of 2005**
**With**
**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 21267 of 2005**
**To**
**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 21306 of 2005**

**For Approval and Signature:**

**HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE AKIL KURESHI**

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

**GIRDHARILAL PANNALAL PANCHAL & 40 - Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

**AHMEDABAD MUNICIPAL TRANSPORT SERVICES & 1 - Respondent(s)**

==========

**Appearance :**
MR PRABHAKAR UPADYAY for Petitioner(s) : 1 - 41.
DS AFF.NOT FILED (N) for Respondent(s) : 1 - 2.
==========

**CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE AKIL KURESHI**

**Date : 26/10/2005**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

1. Rule. Learned Senior Advocate Shri S.I.Nanavati with Shri D.G. Shukla waives service of Rule on behalf of respondent no.1 and learned advocate Shri Munshaw waives service of rule on behalf of respondent no.2. At the

joint request of learned advocates appearing for the parties, these petitions are taken up for final disposal today.

2. In this group of petitions, learned advocates appearing for the parties stated that the issues arising have been settled along the line of earlier stand taken by the respondents in similar matters which have been disposed of by this Court. Accordingly the following formula is accepted by all parties.

(1)The plea and objections raised regarding alternative remedy under the provisions of payment of Gratuity Act is not pressed by the respondents.

(2)The payment of interest after calculation of the period of delay is agreed at the rate of 7.5% which shall be paid to the petitioners by the respondent no.1 within a period of three months from today.

3. In view of the above consensus the group of petitions stand disposed of. Rule made absolute to the above extent. No order as to costs.

(Akil Kureshi, J.)

smita/